

Multiline ESR From a Mn(III,III) Complex?

G. SWARNABALA and M. V. RAJASEKHARAN*

School of Chemistry, University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad 500 134 (India)

(Received September 7, 1989; revised November 22, 1989)

Recently, Nishida reported the ESR spectrum of $MnL(CH_3COO)ClO_4$, where HL = 2-bis[(2-pyridy]methyl)aminomethyl]-4-nitrophenyl, in N,N-dimethylformamide at 77 K [1]. The 16-line spectrum was attributed to a dinuclear Mn(III,III) complex. The solid compound was weakly antiferromagnetic with J = -0.88 cm⁻¹. We consider the assignment of the frozen solution ESR spectrum to be in error for the following reason. Even if one ignores zerofield effects, a weakly coupled dinuclear system having equivalent centres with $S_1 = S_2 = 2$ and $I_1 = I_2 = 5/2$ will give rise to an 11-line pattern with intensity ratio 1:2:3:4:5:6:5:4:3:2:1. The hyperfine splitting will be equal to half that of the individual centres. The 16-line pattern with a spacing of \approx 77 G is not compatible with this description. The 16-line spectrum, in all probability, arises from

*Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

the doublet ground state of a strongly antiferromagnetically coupled Mn(III,IV) complex with $S_1 = 2$ and $S_2 = 3/2$. The likely source of this species is disproportionation of the original Mn(III) complex in DMF.

In another recent paper, Nishida et al. [2] discuss the similar multiline spectrum obtained for Mn(III) complexes of N-alkyl-N,N-bis(benzimidazol-2-ylmethyl)amine. The spectrum, together with their interpretation, is reproduced in Fig. 1. They suggest that the 77 K spectrum is a superposition of three 11-line spectra corresponding to three lower spin states (from among S = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4) resulting from magnetic coupling between two high-spin Mn(III) complex ions. The suggested components are indicated by C-1, C-2 and C-3 in Fig. 1. However, this assignment is inconsistent with the intensity pattern expected for equal hyperfine coupling with the two Mn nuclei. The four low-field lines of the C-1 set are free of overlap and should show a 1:2:3:4... pattern. However, the intensity distribution in these four lines is closer to the 1:1:2:2... pattern expected for unequal coupling with two Mn nuclei (with $A_1 \approx 2A_2$).

Our interpretation of the multiline spectrum and its temperature dependence is as follows. The Mn(III) complex disproportionates in solution and forms a Mn(III,IV) dinuclear complex. The solution therefore contains Mn(II), Mn(III) and Mn(III,IV) complex ions, all of which are paramagnetic. The major component appears to be the mixed-valence dimer which gives the usual 16-line pattern [3, 4], arising from its lowest spin state $(S = 1/2, A_1 \approx 2A_2)$. The additional lines (marked with black dots) seen at 77 K arise most likely from the Mn(II) ions in the solution. The fact that these lines are almost invisible at 15 K is due to the relative increase in intensity of the S = 1/2



Fig. 1. X-band ESR spectra of $[Mn_2O(CH_3COO)_2L](ClO_4)_2$, L = N-n-propyl-N,N-bis(benzimidazol-2-ylmethyl)amine (reproduced from ref. 2).

0020-1693/90/\$3.50

© Elsevier Sequoia/Printed in Switzerland

signal from the Mn(III,IV) species due to depopulation of its higher excited states, while the Mn(II) intensity is practically unaffected by temperature change in the range 77–15 K. A modest |J| of 30 cm⁻¹ (the dioxo-bridged complexes have $|J| \ge 100$ cm⁻¹ [5]) will already lead to a change in the temperature factor between S = 1/2 and S = 3/2 states from 0.2 at 77 K to 0.2×10^{-3} at 15 K. The broad line having g = 4 seen at 15 K probably arises from the forbidden ($\Delta M_s = \pm 2$ or ± 4) transition in the free Mn(III) complex species. The Mn³⁺ ion normally has a negative D leading to the energy level ordering, $M_s = \pm 2 < \pm 1 < 0$ [6].

We have clearly not addressed the problem of identifying the actual mixed-valence species in solution. We have only presented arguments in favour of a Mn(III,IV) species as the source of the multiline spectrum. Isolation of the compound from solution and its structural characterisation will settle the issue.

References

- 1 Y. Nishida, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 151 (1988) 177.
- 2 Y. Nishida, S. Haga and T. Tokii, Chem. Lett., (1989) 321.
- 3 S. R. Cooper, G. C. Dismukes, M. P. Klein and M. Calvin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 100 (1978) 7248.
- 4 G. Swarnabala and M. V. Rajasekharan, Proc. Ind. Acad. Sci., submitted for publication.
- 5 H. A. Goodwin and R. A. Sylva, Aust. J. Chem., 20 (1967) 629.
- 6 H. J. Gerritsen and E. S. Sabisky, Phys. Rev., 132 (1963) 1507.